

Judging Matrix

Each application will be assessed on 4 criteria and scaled from “Not evident” to “Excellent”

	Criteria	Not evident (0)	Evident (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
1	The project has been developed specifically for a targeted group of Australian children and youth.	Unclear and/not evident.	Evident.	Clear.	Clear, convincing, but not distinctive.	Clear, convincing and distinctive.	Very clear, convincing and distinctive.
2	The program will positively impact the audience within a 12 month time period.	Not evident, unconvincing.	Evident.	Clear.	Clearly shows the project will positively impact the audience within 12 months of receiving the Grant.	Clearly shows and justifies how the project will positively impact the audience within 12 months of receiving the Grant.	Very clearly shows and effectively justifies how the project will positively impact the audience within 12 months of receiving the Grant.
3	The project has community benefits.	Not evident, unconvincing.	Evident.	Some attempt to identify short term benefits to the community.	Clearly identifies short term benefits to the community.	Clearly identifies and justifies realistic short term and long term benefits to the community.	Very clearly identifies and effectively justifies realistic short term and long term benefits to the community.
4	The project will be measured and/or evaluated to determine whether it has been successful in achieving its desired outcomes.	Not evident, unconvincing.	Evident.	Some attempt to identify measurement tools and processes.	Clearly identifies realistic measurement tools and processes.	Measurement and evaluation processes are comprehensive and sound.	Measurement and evaluation processes are comprehensive and sound, with clear systems in place to assess success.